UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

WESTERN DIVISION

In re ACCREDO HEALTH, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION) Civil Action No. 03-2216-BBD
) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>
This Document Relates To:) LEAD PLAINTIFFS' MOTION <i>IN LIMINE</i>
ALL ACTIONS.	#15 TO PERMIT LEAD PLAINTIFFS TO
	EXAMINE WITNESSES IDENTIFIED
	QUESTIONS AND TO PRECLUDE
	DEFENDANTS FROM EXAMINING
	WITNESSES IDENTIFIED WITH THEM BY
	LEADING QUESTIONS DURING LEAD
	PLAINTIFFS' CASE-IN-CHIEF

Lead Plaintiffs, Louisiana School Employees' Retirement System and Debra Swiman (together, "Lead Plaintiffs") and the Class of investors who purchased Accredo stock between June 16, 2002 and April 7, 2003, respectfully ask the Court to permit Lead Plaintiffs to examine witnesses identified with Defendants by leading questions, pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 611(c), and likewise to preclude Defendants from examining those same witnesses by leading questions during Lead Plaintiffs' case-in-chief.

Lead Plaintiffs intend to call in their case-in-chief several witnesses who are either current or former employees or agents of Accredo. Lead Plaintiffs should be permitted to examine these witnesses with leading questions, pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 611(c). Along the same lines, Defendants should be precluded from asking leading questions of their own witnesses whom Lead Plaintiffs call during their case-in-chief.

Federal Rule of Evidence 611(c) provides that, "[w]hen a party calls a hostile witness, an adverse party, or *a witness identified with an adverse party*, interrogation may be by leading questions." The phrase "witness identified with an adverse party is intended to apply broadly to an identification *based upon employment* by the party *or by virtue of a demonstrated connection* to an opposing party." *United States v. McLaughlin*, No. 95-CR-113, 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18588, at *3 (E.D. Pa. Nov. 19, 1998).

Many of the witnesses Lead Plaintiffs may call are current or former employees of Accredo, who served in a variety of positions and in some cases reported directly to the individual defendants. Additionally, some of Lead Plaintiffs' intended witnesses served as the Ernst & Young auditors hired by Accredo before and during the Class Period. Because these witnesses are "identified with" adverse parties, Rule 611(c) permits plaintiffs to use leading questions to examine them. *See*

¹ All emphasis added and all internal quotations and citations omitted, unless otherwise noted.

Chonich v. Wayne County Cmty. College, 874 F.2d 359, 368 (6th Cir. 1989) (affirming district court, which allowed the defendant college's current and former employees to be examined with leading questions "as witnesses identified with an adverse party under F.R.E. 611(c)"); Vanemmerik v. Ground Round, No. 97-5923, 1998 U.S. LEXIS 11765, at *6 (E.D. Pa. July 16, 1998) ("[T]he identified with clause of Federal Rule of Evidence 611(c) has been generally interpreted to mean agents, friends, relatives or employees.").

All of the current and/or former employee witnesses, identified as witnesses by Lead Plaintiffs, have been represented by Defendants' counsel, Alston & Bird, at Defendants' (or their insurer's) expense, during their depositions and each of these former employee witnesses spent significant time with Defendants' counsel in preparation for their depositions. This is further evidence indicating their association with Defendants. *See McLaughlin*, 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18588, at *3 ("witness identified with an adverse party" is, in part, based upon a "demonstrated connection to an opposing party").

Because these witnesses are current or former employees of Defendants or Defendants' auditors, and because many have been represented in this matter by Defendants' legal counsel, they are undoubtedly "identified with" Defendants. Therefore, Lead Plaintiffs should be permitted to conduct their direct examination of such adverse witnesses with leading questions.

Additionally, and for the same reasons, Defendants should be precluded from examining witnesses "identified with" Defendants using leading questions. *See Woods v. Lecureux*, 110 F.3d 1215, 1221 (6th Cir. 1997) (noting that Rule 611(c) "ordinarily" permits examination with leading questions of an "adverse party" and a "witness identified with an adverse party," and quoting the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 611(c) for the proposition that "[t]he purpose of the qualification "ordinarily" is to *furnish a basis for denying the use of leading questions when the cross-examination is cross-examination in form only and not in fact ")* Because these witnesses are

current or former employees of Defendants or Defendants' auditors, and because many have been represented in this matter by Defendants' legal counsel, they are undoubtedly "identified with" Defendants. Thus, Defendants should be precluded from examining current and/or former Accredo employees and Ernst & Young witnesses with leading questions.

DATED: September 8, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
MARK SOLOMON
TOR GRONBORG
JONAH H. GOLDSTEIN
DAVID W. MITCHELL
TRIG R. SMITH
NATHAN W. BEAR

s/ TOR GRONBORG TOR GRONBORG

655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP
BLAIR A. NICHOLAS
TIMOTHY A. DELANGE
BRETT M. MIDDLETON
MATTHEW P. JUBENVILLE
12481 High Bluff Drive, Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92130
Telephone: 858/793-0070
858/793-0323 (fax)

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

GLASSMAN, EDWARDS, WADE & WYATT, P.C. B.J. WADE, #5182 26 N. Second Street Building Memphis, TN 38103 Telephone: 901/527-4673 901/521-0940 (fax)

Liaison Counsel

 $C:\Program\ Files\\\DocsCorp\\\pdf\\\Docs\ PDF\\\users\\\MicheleH\\\Import\\\brf\\\foots2886\ mil3.doc$

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 8, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 8, 2008.

s/ TOR GRONBORG

TOR GRONBORG

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-3301 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

E-mail:torg@csgrr.com

Mailing Information for a Case 2:03-cv-02216-BBD-gbc

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

- George E. Barrett gbarrett@barrettjohnston.com
- Nathan W. Bear NBear@csgrr.com,stremblay@csgrr.com
- Saul C. Belz sbelz@glankler.com,apospisil@glankler.com
- Paul Kent Bramlett pknashlaw@aol.com
- Linda F Burnsed lburnsed@cbslawyers.net
- Karen M. Campbell kcampbell@appersoncrump.com
- F. Guthrie Castle, Jr fgc@castle-law.com
- Stanley M. Chernau s.chernau@chernau.com
- Timothy A. DeLange timothyd@blbglaw.com,brettm@blbglaw.com,samj@blbglaw.com,kristinas@blbglaw.com,matthe
- Amy Ferguson Dudek adudek@glankler.com,apospisil@glankler.com
- **Jef Feibelman** jfeibelman@bpjlaw.com,cbiscoe@bpjlaw.com
- **Tor Gronborg** torg@csgrr.com,e_file_sd@csgrr.com
- **Douglas F. Halijan** dhalijan@bpjlaw.com,mmarshall@bpjlaw.com
- Dixie W. Ishee woodcarltonishee@bellsouth.net

• Matthew P. Jubenville matthewj@blbglaw.com

- Emily C. Komlossy ekomlossy@faruqilaw.com
- Quitman Robins Ledyard, II bledyard@borodandkramer.com
- Brett M. Middleton brettm@blbglaw.com
- Timothy L. Miles tmiles@barrettjohnston.com
- David W. Mitchell davidm@csgrr.com
- Blair N. Nicholas blairn@blbglaw.com
- Russell F.A. Riviere russellr@blbglaw.com
- **Kevin Hunter Sharp** ksharp@dsattorneys.com
- Scott N. Sherman scott.sherman@alston.com
- $\hbox{\bf Gary K. Smith} \\ {\it gsmith@appersoncrump.com,clunsford@appersoncrump.com,kcampbell@appersoncrump.com} \\$
- Trig R. Smith trigs@csgrr.com
- Mark D. Trainer mark.trainer@alston.com
- **B. J. Wade** bwade@gewwlaw.com
- Allison Wannamaker wannamakera@thomasonlaw.com
- Kelly C. Wilcove kelly.wilcove@alston.com,valerie.nouman@alston.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

Ramzi Abadou

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Guri Ademi

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP 3620 East Layton Ave. Cudahy, WI 53110

Shpetim Ademi

ADEMI & O'REILLY, LLP 3620 East Layton Ave. Cudahy, WI 53110

Lauren S. Antonino

CHITWOOD & HARLEY 1230 Peachtree St., N.E. 2900 Promenade II Atlanta, GA 30309

Peter Q. Bassett

ALSTON & BIRD 1201 West Peachtree St. Atlanta, GA 30309-3424

Javier Bleichmar

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMAN 1285 Ave of the Americas 38th Floor
New York, NY 10019

Martin D. Chitwood

CHITWOOD HARLEY & HARNES LLP 1230 Peachtree St., N.E. 2900 Promenade II Atlanta, GA 30309

Patricia A. Connell

ERNST & YOUNG 5 Times Square New York, NY 10036-6530

Gregory M. Egleston

BERNSTEIN LIEBHARD & LIFSHITZ, LLP 10 East 40th Street New York, NY 10016

Nadeem Faruqi

FARUQI & FARUQI, LLP 369 Lexington Avenue 10th Floor New York, NY 10017

, Esq

Mark C. Gardy

ABBEY GARDY, LLP 212 East 39th St. New York, NY 10016

Carol V. Gilden

MUCH SHELIST FREED DENENBERG AMENT & RUBENSTEIN, P.C. 191 N. Wacker Dr. Ste. 1800 Chicago, IL 60606-1615

Karen M. Hanson

LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN, PLLP 100 Washington Ave., South Ste. 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55401

Ronald B. Hauben

ERNST & YOUNG 5 Times Square New York, NY 10036-6530

Marc S. Henzel

LAW OFFICES OF MARC S. HENZEL 273 Montgomery Ave. Ste. 202 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Fred Taylor Isquith

WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 270 Madison Ave.
New York, NY 10016

Douglas S. Johnston

BARRETT JOHNSTON & PARSLEY 217 Second Avenue North Nashville, TN 37201-1601

Nancy Kaboolian

ABBEY GARDY, LLP 212 East 39th St. New York, NY 10016

William S. Lerach

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Mel E. Lifshitz

BERNSTEIN LIEBHARD & LIFSHITZ, LLP 10 East 40th Street New York, NY 10016

Richard A. Lockridge

LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN, PLLP 100 Washington Ave., South Ste. 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55401

, Esq

Douglas M McKeige

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMAN 1285 Ave of the Americas 38th Floor New York, NY 10019

Eitan Misulovin

BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMAN 1285 Ave of the Americas 38th Floor
New York, NY 10019

Michael E. Moskovitz

MUCH SHELIST FREED DENENBERG AMENT & RUBENSTEIN, P.C. 191 N. Wacker Dr. Ste. 1800 Chicago, IL 60606-1615

Gregory M. Nespole

WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 270 Madison Ave. New York, NY 10016

Darren J Robbins

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 W. Broadway Ste. 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Robert M. Roseman

SPECTOR ROSEMAN & KODROFF, P.C. 1818 Market St. Ste. 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103

David A. Rosenfeld

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 200 Broadhollow Rd. Ste. 406 Melville, NY 11747

Samuel H. Rudman

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 200 Broadhollow Rd. Ste. 406
Melville, NY 11747

Mark Solomon

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

Marc A. Topaz

SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY TOPAZ & KESSLER, LLP 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087